**EVALUATION REPORT**

aNNEX 1A

**Ministry of University and Research**

**Directorate-General for Internationalisation and Communication**

**Public notice for the submission of project proposals to be funded****under the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP)**

**Mission 4 “*Education and Research*” - Component 2 “*From Research to Business”* -**

**Investment line 1.2 “*Funding projects presented by young researchers”*,**

**funded by the European Union – NextGenerationEU**

**Annex 1A–Evaluation Report of the project proposal presented by an Applicant**

**who received an ERC Starting or Consolidator Grant and whose project is already completed**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PROJECT INFORMATION** | |
| **Name of the Applicant (Researcher)** |  |
| **ERC domain** |  |
| **ERC primary sector** |  |
| **ERC keywords** |  |
| **Proposal full title** |  |
| **Proposal acronym** |  |
| **Proposal start date (foreseen)** |  |
| **Proposal duration in months (max 36 months)** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **HOST INSTITUTION**  **identified for the project implementation** | |
| **Host Institution name** |  |
| **Coordinator of panel evaluation** |  |
| **Members of panel evaluation** |  |
| **Evaluation procedure information** | *[i.e. provide reference of the Host Institution Internal Regulations (if any), information concerning the identification of Panel evaluation members and their declarations of conflict of interest, as well as reference to any evaluation report filled, etc..]* |

**APPLICATION’S ADMISSIBILITY CHECK**

On the basis of the documentation provided by the Applicant, the Host Institution declares to have verified the following sections relating to the fulfillment of the Public Notice (Decree n. XX) requirements by the Applicant and the project proposal:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **VALIDATION OF THE PROPOSAL OVERALL SCOPE OF WORK** | |
| Is the proposal coherent with the objectives and principles of the Public Notice? | [*Yes/No* |
| *If No, please explain which additional information is required*:  [Comment box] | |
| Is the proposal presented by the Applicant compliant with the characteristics described in art. 6 paragraph 2 of the Notice, in terms of complementarity and consequentiality with the activities of the ERC “First proposal” already funded and completed project? | [*Yes/No*] |
| *If No, please explain which additional information is required*:  [Comment box] | |
| 1. **PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR** | |
| The Principal Investigator is in line with the specific requirements stated in the Public Notice and meets the definition of "*young researcher*", as reported in the Notice at Article 1, paragraph 13? | [*Yes/No*] |
| Please indicate, according to the Article 1, paragraph 13 of the Notice, which of the “young researcher" required conditions the Principal Investigator fulfills:  [Comment box] | |
| *If No, please explain which additional information is required*:  [Comment box] | |
| Is the Principal Investigator currently engaged in research activities in a foreign country as indicated in art. 2 of the Notice? | [*Yes/No*] |
| *Please indicate the name of the foreign institution where the Principal Investigator is engaged:*  [Comment box] | |
| 1. **ETHICS** | |
| Is the Ethics self-assessment (Annex 3) provided by the applicant complete and ethics issues are properly addressed? | [*Yes/No*] |
| *If No, please explain which additional information is required*:  [Comment box] | |
| 1. **EXCLUSIVE FOCUS ON CIVIL APPLICATIONS** | |
| Do the proposed activities have an exclusive focus on civil applications (*activities intended to be used in military application or aims to serve military purposes cannot be funded*)? | [*Yes/No*] |
| *If No, please explain which additional information is required*:  [Comment box] | |
| 1. **DNSH PRINCIPLE** | |
| Did the applicant provide a declaration of compliance with the DNSH principle as stated in the art. 6, c. 8 of the Public Notice? | [*Yes/No*] |
| Did the evaluators complete the checklist\* related to compliance with the “do no significant harm” principle, following the art. 10, c.4, of the Public Notice? | [*Yes/No*] |
| *If No, please explain which additional information is required:*  [Comment box] | |

\**Please, attach the DNSH checklist filled by the Host Institution*

In compliance with the art. 10 of the Public Notice (Decree n. XX), the Evaluation Panel, after appropriate evaluation analyses, assigns the following scores for each evaluation criterion defined in the Notice n. XX of XX/XX/2025:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Score** |
| Criterion n. A1 |  |
| Criterion n. A2 |  |
| Criterion n. A3 |  |
| **Total** |  |

***Please provide a score from 0 up to a maximum of 5 for each criterion.***

**SCORE’S DESCRIPTION (as in the Decree)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **SCORE** | **DESCRIPTION** |
| **0** | The proposal does not meet the evaluation criteria |
| **1** | The proposal meets only some of the evaluation criteria and has inherent weaknesses |
| **2** | The proposal addresses the evaluation criteria, but there are some major limitations |
| **3** | The proposal sufficiently meets the evaluation criteria, but there are some minor limitations. |
| **4** | The proposal successfully meets the evaluation criteria, but there are minor deficiencies. |
| **5** | The proposal successfully meets all the evaluation criteria |

*The achievable score for each point is between 0 (The proposal does not meet the evaluation criteria) and 5 (The proposal successfully meets all the evaluation criteria).*

*The maximum score that can be achieved is 15. Projects that achieve an overall score lower than 10 out of 15 or that have at least a score on the individual criteria lower than or equal to 3 are not eligible for the grant.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Criterion A1: Scientific proposal**   *Consistency, clarity and ambition of the project proposal’s goals in terms of complementarity and consequentiality with those of the ERC “First proposal” already funded project.* | |
| **Comments:**  [Comment box] | |
| **CRITERION A1 SCORE** (0-5) |  |
| 1. **Criterion A2: Expected impact**   *Expected impact of the project at scientific, social and economic level, and adherence to European and international policies (for example, the Sustainable Development Goals and the 5 Missions of the Horizon Europe Framework Program) and in terms of knowledge transfer and/or technology.* | |
| **Comments:**  [Comment box] | |
| **CRITERION A2 SCORE** (0-5) |  |
| 1. **Criterion A3: Implementation and feasibility**   *Robustness, clarity and feasibility of the overall structure activity plan and of the economic-financial plan in the proposed timing, as detailed by the Applicant in the “Financial Plan and Framework” document* | |
| **Comments:**  [Comment box] | |
| **CRITERION A3 SCORE** (0-5) |  |
| **TOTAL SCORE** (0-15) |  |

**RESULT**

Given the total score of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ assigned by the Evaluation panel to the project proposal \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (*please indicate proposal title and acronym*), the project appears to be **eligible/not eligible** to be submitted in the open call launched within the Notice n. XX of XX/XX/2025 - under the National Recovery and Resilience Plan, Mission 4, “*Education and Research*” - Component 2 “*From Research to Business*” - Investment line 1.2 “*Funding projects presented by young researchers*”, funded by the European Union – NextGenerationEU.

Place and Date

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Coordinator of Evaluation panel

*(signature)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Legal Representative of Host Institution

*(signature)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Annex:

* Ethic self-assessment presented by the Applicant;
* Declaration on DNSH principle presented by the Applicant;
* Checklist on DNSH presented completed by the evaluator of the Host Institution;
* Financial Framework and Timetable;
* *Any further documentation*